The Healthcare Divide

Where Trump and Biden stand on key healthcare issues

The 2020 presidential election has the power to influence the future of healthcare reform in the United States, regardless of which candidate claims victory. Here's where each candidate stands on key issues impacting affordability of and access to healthcare.

By Katie Oberkircher



PRIORITY	BIDEN	TRUMP
Prescription Drugs The topic of prescription drug pricing and lack of industry transparency continues to receive broad bipartisan support. The drug industry has received temporary cover due to COVID-19, but the sector will likely face long-term political headwinds.	 Repeal the existing law explicitly barring Medicare from negotiating lower prices with drug corporations Establish independent government review board run by HHS that uses international prices as benchmark in price-setting recommendations for newly introduced drugs Cap out out-of-pocket spending in Medicare Part D prescription drug coverage Allow consumers to import prescriptions from other countries, with safeguards in place 	 The FDA established the Right to Try Act as a way for patients who have been diagnosed with life-threatening diseases or conditions who have tried all approved treatment options and who are unable to participate in a clinical trial to access certain unapproved treatments Ordered HHS to begin rulemaking for international reference-based pricing for Medicare Part B and D Proposed a rule to end the ability of pharmacy benefit managers to keep a percentage of rebates and
		discounts, and funnel them instead to American seniors with Medicare Part D plans
		Finalized a rule allowing states to develop safe importation plans for certain prescription drugs
		 Established consumer education and transparency efforts (e.g., disclose list prices in TV ads, although courts have blocked these efforts)
		► CMS announced Medicare Part D and Medicare Advantage plans will offer a maximum \$35 copay on insulin, where patients will not be required to pay their deductibles or higher costs experienced during the "donut hole" stage
Pricing Transparency	Supports Medicare part D reform, which would likely include drug-price increase ceilings, out of pocket spending limitations, and the removal of tax-deductions for direct to consumer ads	Released a hospital pricing transparency rule, effective January 2021, which requires hospitals to publicly disclose their charges for common services and procedures along with the rates they negotiate with insurance companies
Public Option/ Government-Run Healthcare	➤ Offer a "Medicare-like" public health insurance option to everyone, including the uninsured, beneficiaries in the ACA exchanges, and individuals with employer- sponsored coverage	The administration has publicly advocated against government-run healthcare and the idea of using government price-setting to crowd private insurers out of the marketplace
	➤ People in states that have not yet expanded Medicaid who would otherwise be eligible would be able to enroll in the public option with no or low premiums and copays	
Short-Term Health Plans/Association Health Plans (AHPs)	Would likely return to Obama-era oversight levels for both AHPs and short-term health plans, restricting their availability	Relaxed Section 1332 requirements by allowing non-ACA compliant plans, including short-term and AHPs, to be viewed as reasonable coverage options. The DOL also published a final rule allowing unrelated employers to participate in a single employer group health plan, increasing the accessibility of AHPs.
		► Expanded short-term health plans to cover members for 12 months, with a potential three-year renewal—up from the Obama-era's three-month cap
Surprise Medical Billing	Supports ban on balance billing, but has not specified if he	▶ Supports ban on balance billing, but has not specified if he supports a benchmark or arbitration approach
This issue continues to hold strong bipartisan support. Policies addressing surprise billing can meaningfully influence commercial insurance	supports benchmark or arbitration approach	► In the largely symbolic "America First Healthcare Plan" Executive Order, HHS is directed to work with Congress to reach a legislative solution to end surprise billing by December 31, 2020
premiums. A well-designed policy to address this market failure could therefore reduce consumer healthcare spending.		
Telehealth	Previously referenced telehealth as the silver-lining of the pandemic and will support its continued use and expansion, especially to rural areas	 Removed several barriers to telehealth (communication technology, non-face-to-face care management, remote patient monitoring) Provided \$500 million in waivers to encourage Medicare coverage of those services
Value-Based Care While there isn't consensus on whether these models should be mandatory, there is widespread agreement that the current fee-for- service system fosters the wrong kind of incentives.	Will push for bundled payment models and mandatory downside risk	 CMS proposed a rule to allow specialty groups to share patient records with PCPs and hospitals Shielded Medicare Accountable Care Organization (ACO) participants from financial penalties during COVID-19 pandemic Value-based competition is a stated priority at HHS and CMS

Source: Cicero Group, Data Driven Management Consulting